

# Moment and Chernoff bounds

Chapters 2.1,2.2,2.3 from "Concentration Inequalities"

Vardis Kandiros

October 2, 2018

# What we study

- We study concentration inequalities, which bound the probability that a real-valued random variable  $Z$  differs from its expected value by more than a certain amount.
- More precisely, we seek upper bounds for tail probabilities of the form

$$\mathbf{P}[Z - \mathbf{E}[Z] \geq t] \text{ and } \mathbf{P}[Z - \mathbf{E}[Z] \leq -t]$$

for  $t > 0$ .

- We assume that  $\mathbf{E}[Z]$  exists.

# Markov's Inequality

- Let  $Y$  be a non negative random variable. We define  $\mathbb{1}_{\{Y \geq t\}}$  to be a random variable that takes value 1 if  $Y \geq t$ , else 0.
- $Y \geq t \mathbb{1}_{\{Y \geq t\}}$
- By taking expectations in both sides of the inequality,

$$\mathbf{E}[Y] \geq t \mathbf{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{Y \geq t\}}] = t \mathbf{P}[Y \geq t] \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}[Y \geq t] \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[Y]}{t}$$

- By taking  $Y = |Z - \mathbf{E}[Z]|$ , we get the tail inequality

$$\mathbf{P}[|Z - \mathbf{E}[Z]| \geq t] \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[|Z - \mathbf{E}[Z]|]}{t}$$

# Markov's Inequality

- We can obtain sharper estimates by a small modification.
- Let  $\phi : \mathbf{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbf{R}^+$  be an increasing function.
- Obviously

$$\mathbf{P}[Y \geq t] \leq \mathbf{P}[\phi(Y) \geq \phi(t)] \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[\phi(Y)]}{\phi(t)}$$

# Markov's Inequality

- We can obtain sharper estimates by a small modification.
- Let  $\phi : \mathbf{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbf{R}^+$  be an increasing function.
- Obviously

$$\mathbf{P}[Y \geq t] \leq \mathbf{P}[\phi(Y) \geq \phi(t)] \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[\phi(Y)]}{\phi(t)}$$

- If  $\phi(t) = t^2$  and  $Y = |Z - \mathbf{E}[Z]|$ , we obtain *Chebyshev's Inequality*.

$$\mathbf{P}[|Z - \mathbf{E}[Z]| \geq t] \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{t^2}$$

,if  $\text{Var}(Z)$  exists.

# Moment Generating Functions

- Usually we will be interested in tail inequalities about a sum of random variables.
- Thus, we want  $\phi$  to be conveniently handled for sums of random variables.

# Moment Generating Functions

- Usually we will be interested in tail inequalities about a sum of random variables.
- Thus, we want  $\phi$  to be conveniently handled for sums of random variables.
- A good choice is  $\phi(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ .
- Markov's inequality then gives

$$\mathbf{P}[Z \geq t] \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Z}]}{e^{\lambda t}}$$

, if  $\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Z}]$  exists.

# Moment Generating Functions

- Usually we will be interested in tail inequalities about a sum of random variables.
- Thus, we want  $\phi$  to be conveniently handled for sums of random variables.
- A good choice is  $\phi(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ .
- Markov's inequality then gives

$$\mathbf{P}[Z \geq t] \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Z}]}{e^{\lambda t}}$$

, if  $\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Z}]$  exists.

- The function  $M(\lambda) = \mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Z}]$  is called the *Moment generating function* of random variable  $Z$ .



# Properties of Moment Generating Functions

[Bil08] Chapter 21, [Dim15] Chapter 13

- Not all functions have a moment generating function, since the integral  $\mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda Z}]$  might be  $+\infty$ .

# Properties of Moment Generating Functions

[Bil08] Chapter 21,[Dim15] Chapter 13

- Not all functions have a moment generating function, since the integral  $\mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda Z}]$  might be  $+\infty$ .
- If  $M(s)$  exists for some  $s > 0$ , then it exists for all  $\lambda \in [0, s]$ .

# Properties of Moment Generating Functions

[Bil08] Chapter 21, [Dim15] Chapter 13

- Not all functions have a moment generating function, since the integral  $\mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda Z}]$  might be  $+\infty$ .
- If  $M(s)$  exists for some  $s > 0$ , then it exists for all  $\lambda \in [0, s]$ .
- If  $M(\lambda)$  exists for  $\lambda \in [-s, s]$ , then  $M$  is infinitely many times differentiable in  $[-s, s]$  and it's  $n$ -th derivative is

$$M^{(n)}(\lambda) = \mathbf{E} [Z^n e^{\lambda Z}]$$

# Properties of Moment Generating Functions

[Bil08] Chapter 21, [Dim15] Chapter 13

- Not all functions have a moment generating function, since the integral  $\mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda Z}]$  might be  $+\infty$ .
- If  $M(s)$  exists for some  $s > 0$ , then it exists for all  $\lambda \in [0, s]$ .
- If  $M(\lambda)$  exists for  $\lambda \in [-s, s]$ , then  $M$  is infinitely many times differentiable in  $[-s, s]$  and it's  $n$ -th derivative is

$$M^{(n)}(\lambda) = \mathbf{E} [Z^n e^{\lambda Z}]$$

- Since

$$M''(\lambda) = \mathbf{E} [Z^2 e^{\lambda Z}] \geq 0$$

the function  $M$  is convex in it's domain of definition.

# Properties of Moment Generating Functions

[Bil08] Chapter 21, [Dim15] Chapter 13

- Not all functions have a moment generating function, since the integral  $\mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda Z}]$  might be  $+\infty$ .
- If  $M(s)$  exists for some  $s > 0$ , then it exists for all  $\lambda \in [0, s]$ .
- If  $M(\lambda)$  exists for  $\lambda \in [-s, s]$ , then  $M$  is infinitely many times differentiable in  $[-s, s]$  and it's  $n$ -th derivative is

$$M^{(n)}(\lambda) = \mathbf{E} [Z^n e^{\lambda Z}]$$

- Since

$$M''(\lambda) = \mathbf{E} [Z^2 e^{\lambda Z}] \geq 0$$

the function  $M$  is convex in it's domain of definition.

- If  $M(\lambda)$  exists for  $\lambda \in [-s, s]$ , then  $\mathbf{E} [Z^n] < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  and

$$M(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{E} [Z^n] \lambda^n}{n!}$$

# The Cramer-Chernoff method

- From now on, we will implicitly assume that for all our random variables the generating function is defined in an interval of positive length.
- We have seen that

$$\mathbf{P}[Z \geq t] \leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} \left[ e^{\lambda Z} \right] \quad (1)$$

so in order to obtain a good upper bound, we optimize the right hand side with respect to  $\lambda$ .

# The Cramer-Chernoff method

- From now on, we will implicitly assume that for all our random variables the generating function is defined in an interval of positive length.
- We have seen that

$$\mathbf{P} [Z \geq t] \leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{E} \left[ e^{\lambda Z} \right] \quad (1)$$

so in order to obtain a good upper bound, we optimize the right hand side with respect to  $\lambda$ .

- This is equivalent to minimizing the quantity

$$-\lambda t + \psi_Z(\lambda)$$

where  $\psi_Z(\lambda) = \log \mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda Z}]$ .

- If we define  $\psi_Z^*(t) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} (\lambda t - \psi_Z(\lambda))$ , then (1) gives

$$\mathbf{P} [Z \geq t] \leq e^{-\psi_Z^*(t)}$$

which is *Chernoff's inequality*.

# The Cramer-Chernoff method

- The minimum point is found by setting the derivative to 0.

$$t = \psi'_Z(\lambda) \tag{2}$$

- We can show that  $\psi_Z$  is strictly convex, which means that  $\psi'_Z$  is strictly increasing and thus invertible.
- This means that ( 2 ) has a unique solution  $\lambda_t$ .
- We proceed by calculating  $\lambda_t$  for various distributions of  $Z$ .



# Examples

## Example 1 - Gaussian

- Suppose that  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .
- $\psi_Z(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}$
- 

$$\psi'_Z(\lambda_t) = t \Rightarrow \lambda_t = \frac{t}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\mathbf{P}[Z \geq t] \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

- The constant cannot be improved by more than  $1/2$ .

# Examples

## Example 1 - Gaussian

- Suppose that  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .
- $\psi_Z(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}$
- 

$$\psi'_Z(\lambda t) = t \Rightarrow \lambda t = \frac{t}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\mathbf{P}[Z \geq t] \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

- The constant cannot be improved by more than  $1/2$ .

## Example 2 - Poisson

- Let  $Y$  be a Poisson with parameter  $\nu$  and  $Z = Y - \nu$  the centered version.

- 

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] &= e^{-\lambda \nu} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\lambda n} \nu^n}{n!} \\ &= e^{-\lambda \nu - \nu} e^{\nu e^{\lambda}}\end{aligned}$$

- Thus

$$\mathbf{P}[Z \geq t] \leq e^{-\nu h\left(\frac{t}{\nu}\right)}$$

where

$$h(x) = (1+x) \ln(1+x) - x$$

# SubGaussian RV's

[Rig15] Chapter 1

- A lot of interesting distributions have tails decreasing faster than the Normal distribution.
- To formalize this, we say a r.v.  $X$  is *Subgaussian* with *variance factor*  $v$  if  $\ln \mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda X}] \leq \frac{\lambda^2 v}{2}$ . The collection of these r.v.'s is  $\mathcal{G}(v)$ .
- If  $X \in \mathcal{G}(v)$  then  $\mathbf{P} [X \geq t] \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2v}}$ .

# SubGaussian RV's

[Rig15] Chapter 1

- A lot of interesting distributions have tails decreasing faster than the Normal distribution.
- To formalize this, we say a r.v.  $X$  is *Subgaussian* with *variance factor*  $\nu$  if  $\ln \mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda X}] \leq \frac{\lambda^2 \nu}{2}$ . The collection of these r.v.'s is  $\mathcal{G}(\nu)$ .
- If  $X \in \mathcal{G}(\nu)$  then  $\mathbf{P} [X \geq t] \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\nu}}$ .

## Characterisation Theorem

Let  $X$  be an r.v. with  $\mathbf{E} [X] = 0$ . If for some  $\nu > 0$ :

$$\mathbf{P} [X \geq t] \vee \mathbf{P} [X \leq -t] \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\nu}}$$

then

$$\mathbf{E} [X^{2q}] \leq q!(4\nu)^q$$

Conversely, if  $\mathbf{E} [X^{2q}] \leq q!C^q$  then  $X \in \mathcal{G}(4C)$ .

## Exercise 2.1

### Exercise 2.1

Let  $MZ$  be a median of a random variable  $Z$  with  $\mathbf{E}[Z^2] < \infty$ . This means  $\mathbf{P}[Z \geq MZ] \geq 1/2$  and  $\mathbf{P}[Z \leq MZ] \geq 1/2$ . Then

$$|MZ - \mathbf{E}[Z]| \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}Z}$$

## Exercise 2.1

### Exercise 2.1

Let  $MZ$  be a median of a random variable  $Z$  with  $\mathbf{E}[Z^2] < \infty$ . This means  $\mathbf{P}[Z \geq MZ] \geq 1/2$  and  $\mathbf{P}[Z \leq MZ] \geq 1/2$ . Then

$$|MZ - \mathbf{E}[Z]| \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}Z}$$

### Proof

- Without loss of generality we can assume that  $MZ \geq 0$ . If  $MZ \leq 0$ , then  $-MZ$  is a median of  $-Z$  and  $\text{Var}(Z) = \text{Var}(-Z)$ . Also, we can assume that  $\mathbf{E}[Z] = 0$ .

## Exercise 2.1

### Exercise 2.1

Let  $\mathbf{M}Z$  be a median of a random variable  $Z$  with  $\mathbf{E}[Z^2] < \infty$ . This means  $\mathbf{P}[Z \geq \mathbf{M}Z] \geq 1/2$  and  $\mathbf{P}[Z \leq \mathbf{M}Z] \geq 1/2$ . Then  $|\mathbf{M}Z - \mathbf{E}[Z]| \leq \sqrt{\text{Var}Z}$

### Proof

- Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\mathbf{M}Z \geq 0$ . If  $\mathbf{M}Z \leq 0$ , then  $-\mathbf{M}Z$  is a median of  $-Z$  and  $\text{Var}(Z) = \text{Var}(-Z)$ . Also, we can assume that  $\mathbf{E}[Z] = 0$ .
- Let  $a = \mathbf{M}Z$ . It suffices to prove  $\mathbf{E}[Z^2] \geq a^2$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}[Z^2] &= \mathbf{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z \geq a\}}] + \mathbf{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] \\ &\geq a^2 \mathbf{P}[Z \geq a] + \mathbf{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] \\ &\geq \frac{a^2}{2} + \mathbf{E}[Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}]\end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 2.1

Proof.

- It suffices to show  $\mathbf{E} [Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] \geq a^2/2$ .



## Exercise 2.1

Proof.

- It suffices to show  $\mathbf{E} [Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] \geq a^2/2$ .
- $\mathbf{E} [Z] = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] = -\mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z \geq a\}}] \Rightarrow \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}]^2 = \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z \geq a\}}]^2$

## Exercise 2.1

### Proof.

- It suffices to show  $\mathbf{E} [Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] \geq a^2/2$ .
- $\mathbf{E} [Z] = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] = -\mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z \geq a\}}] \Rightarrow \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}]^2 = \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z \geq a\}}]^2$
- But  $\mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z \geq a\}}]^2 \geq \frac{a^2}{4}$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}]^2 \geq \frac{a^2}{4}$

## Exercise 2.1

Proof.

- It suffices to show  $\mathbf{E} [Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] \geq a^2/2$ .
- $\mathbf{E} [Z] = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] = -\mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z \geq a\}}] \Rightarrow \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}]^2 = \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z \geq a\}}]^2$
- But  $\mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z \geq a\}}]^2 \geq \frac{a^2}{4}$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}]^2 \geq \frac{a^2}{4}$
- By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}]^2 &= \mathbf{E} [Z \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}]^2 \\ &\leq \mathbf{E} [Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] \mathbf{E} [\mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}^2] \\ &= \mathbf{E} [Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] \mathbf{P} [Z < a] \\ &\leq \mathbf{E} [Z^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{Z < a\}}] / 2 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

## Exercise 2.3

### Exercise 2.3

If  $\mathbf{E}[Y^2] < \infty$ , then  $\mathbf{P}[Y - \mathbf{E}[Y] \geq t] \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{\text{Var}(Y) + t^2}$

## Exercise 2.3

### Exercise 2.3

If  $\mathbf{E}[Y^2] < \infty$ , then  $\mathbf{P}[Y - \mathbf{E}[Y] \geq t] \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{\text{Var}(Y) + t^2}$

Proof.

Assume without loss of generality that  $\mathbf{E}[Y] = 0$ . We consider functions  $\phi_u$  of the form  $\phi_u(t) = (t + u)^2$ , for  $u > 0$ . By Markov's inequality  $\mathbf{P}[Y \geq t] \leq \mathbf{P}[\phi_u(Y) \geq \phi_u(t)] \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[\phi_u(Y)]}{\phi_u(t)} = \frac{\text{Var}(Y) + u^2}{(t + u)^2}$ . By setting  $u = \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{t}$  we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}[Y \geq t] \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y) + \left(\frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{t}\right)^2}{\left(t + \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{t}\right)^2} = \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{\text{Var}(Y) + t^2}$$



## Exercise 2.4

### Exercise 2.4

If  $Y$  is nonnegative and square integrable, and  $a \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}[Y \geq a\mathbf{E}[Y]] \geq (1 - a)^2 \frac{\mathbf{E}[Y]^2}{\mathbf{E}[Y^2]}$$

## Exercise 2.4

### Exercise 2.4

If  $Y$  is nonnegative and square integrable, and  $a \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}[Y \geq a\mathbf{E}[Y]] \geq (1-a)^2 \frac{\mathbf{E}[Y]^2}{\mathbf{E}[Y^2]}$$

### Proof.

Without loss of generality,  $\mathbf{E}[Y] = 1$ . We have

$\mathbf{P}[Y \geq a] = \mathbf{P}[1 - Y \leq 1 - a] = 1 - \mathbf{P}[1 - Y > 1 - a]$ . By applying the Chebyshev-Cantelli inequality we get

$$\mathbf{P}[1 - Y > 1 - a] \leq \frac{\text{Var}(1 - Y)}{\text{Var}(1 - Y) + (1 - a)^2} = \frac{\text{Var}(Y)}{\text{Var}(Y) + (1 - a)^2}$$

Consequently  $\mathbf{P}[Y \geq a] \geq \frac{(1-a)^2}{\text{Var}(Y) + (1-a)^2} \geq \frac{(1-a)^2}{\mathbf{E}[Y^2]}$  □

## Exercise 2.5

### Exercise 2.5

If  $Y$  is nonnegative and  $t > 0$ ,  $\inf_{q \in \mathbf{N}} \mathbf{E} [Y^q] t^{-q} \leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} \mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda(Y-t)}]$



## Exercise 2.5

### Exercise 2.5

If  $Y$  is nonnegative and  $t > 0$ ,  $\inf_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{E} [Y^q] t^{-q} \leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} \mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda(Y-t)}]$

Proof.

Let  $A = \inf_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{E} [Y^q] t^{-q}$ . For every  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} [e^{\lambda(Y-t)}] &= e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \lambda^q \frac{\mathbf{E} [Y^q]}{q!} \\ &\geq e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \lambda^q \frac{A t^q}{q!} \\ &= A \end{aligned}$$



## Exercise 2.7

### Exercise 2.7

If  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  then  $\sup_{t>0} \mathbf{P}[Z \geq t] e^{\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} = 1/2$ .

## Exercise 2.7

### Exercise 2.7

If  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  then  $\sup_{t>0} \mathbf{P}[Z \geq t] e^{\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} = 1/2$ .

### Proof.

Let  $f(t) = \mathbf{P}[Z \geq t] e^{\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} \int_t^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx$ . We get:

$f'(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \left( \frac{t}{\sigma^2} e^{\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} \int_t^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx - 1 \right)$ . We now notice that

$\int_t^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx \leq \int_t^\infty \frac{x}{t} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx = \frac{\sigma^2}{t} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ . Thus  $f'(t) \leq 0$  and  $f(t) \leq f(0) = 1/2$ . □

## Exercise 2.8

$$-\ln(1-u) - u \leq \frac{u^2}{2(1-u)} \text{ for } u \in (0, 1).$$

$$h(u) = (1+u)\ln(1+u) - u \geq \frac{u^2}{2(1+u/3)}, \text{ for } u > 0.$$

## Exercise 2.8

$$-\ln(1-u) - u \leq \frac{u^2}{2(1-u)} \text{ for } u \in (0, 1).$$

$$h(u) = (1+u)\ln(1+u) - u \geq \frac{u^2}{2(1+u/3)}, \text{ for } u > 0.$$

### Proof.

For the first one, by Taylor's expansion we obtain

$$-\ln(1-u) - u = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n} = u^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n+2} \leq u^2/2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n = u^2/2(1-u)$$

For the second,

$$h(u) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{u^n}{n(n-1)} = u^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{u^n}{(n+1)(n+2)}$$

Now notice that  $(n+1)(n+2) \leq 2 \cdot 3^n$  and the result follows. □

## Exercise 2.9

### Exercise 2.9

If  $X \geq 0$  with  $\mathbf{E}[X^2] < \infty$  then for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}\left[e^{-\lambda(X-\mathbf{E}[X])}\right] \leq e^{\lambda^2\mathbf{E}[X^2]/2}$$

## Exercise 2.9

### Exercise 2.9

If  $X \geq 0$  with  $\mathbf{E}[X^2] < \infty$  then for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,




$$\mathbf{E} \left[ e^{-\lambda(X - \mathbf{E}[X])} \right] \leq e^{\lambda^2 \mathbf{E}[X^2]/2}$$

### Proof.

We use the well known inequalities  $e^{-x} \leq 1 - x + x^2/2$ , if  $x > 0$  and  $1 + x \leq e^x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \left[ e^{-\lambda(X - \mathbf{E}[X])} \right] &\leq e^{\mathbf{E}[X]} \mathbf{E} \left[ 1 - \lambda X + \lambda^2 X^2/2 \right] \\ &= e^{\mathbf{E}[X]} (1 - \lambda \mathbf{E}[X] + \lambda^2 \mathbf{E}[X^2]/2) \\ &\leq e^{\mathbf{E}[X]} e^{-\lambda \mathbf{E}[X] + \lambda^2 \mathbf{E}[X^2]/2} \\ &= e^{\lambda^2 \mathbf{E}[X^2]/2} \end{aligned}$$

# References

-  Patrick Billingsley.  
*Probability and measure.*  
John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
-  Cheliotis Dimitris.  
A second course in probability, 2015.  
URL : <https://repository.kallipos.gr/handle/11419/2825>.
-  Philippe Rigollet.  
Lecture notes in high dimensional statistics, 2015.  
URL : [https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/mathematics/18-s997-high-dimensional-statistics-spring-2015/lecture-notes/MIT18\\_S997S15\\_Chapter1.pdf](https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/mathematics/18-s997-high-dimensional-statistics-spring-2015/lecture-notes/MIT18_S997S15_Chapter1.pdf).